

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/683,084 11/16/2001 | | Sam Shiaw-Shiang Jiang | ASTP0020USA 7620 | | |
| 27765 | 7590 03/30/2005 | EXAMINER | | | |
| NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE (NAIPC) P.O. BOX 506 | | | EMDADI, KAMRAN | | |
| MERRIFIELD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2667 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | OX | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | Office Action Summer | 09/683,08 | 4 | JIANG, SAM SHIAW-SHIANG | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Kamran Er | | 2667 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 | November 20 | <u>001</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| · | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | l/or election re | quirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attach-s- | W-1 | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-10-01, 4-11-03</u> . | | 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO | ı-152) | | | |
| | 12/2/11/20 | | -, | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/683,084

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 as filed contains conversational notes inapplicable to the claim language. Applicant is hereby advised to delete these notes from the claim language. Examiner will proceed by ignoring these notes and examining the claim on its merits. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yi et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. (US 2002/0015385).

Reference Yi teaches: a method for confirming receipt of protocol data units (PDU). The method includes a window-based polling procedure that has been modified to perform polling only during specified conditions to reduce undesired polling (see page 1, [0013], and page 3, [0028] of Yi). Yi also discloses that the determination whether to perform polling is operated by comparing a value of an RLC PDU, where a send state variable VT(S) is incremented by one after each RLC PDU is transmitted. The value

Art Unit: 2667

VT(MS) represents a maximum send status variable that represents the sequence number of the PDUs not subjected to be transmitted. Maintaining a record of the PDU sequence numbers provides a method for determining if a PDU has been retransmitted. The value of VT(S) increasing by one after each PDU has been transmitted in combination with a comparison with the value of VT(A) (an acknowledge state variable representing the number of the first PDU)) provides a method for only causing polling to be triggered if a PDU is not a re-transmitted PDU (see page 2, [0025-0028] of Yi). Also, the polling is only operated when the polling determination method indicates that polling is to be triggered (see page 3, [0028] of Yi).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 2 recites:

"obtaining a first value that is (2ⁿ +1) added to a difference of a parameter S and the base sequence number VT(A)".

None of the prior art references taken individually or in combination teach this portion of claim 2. Therefore, claim 2 is allowable over the prior art.

Regarding claims 3-4, these claims are also allowable by virtue of their dependency on claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,084

Art Unit: 2667

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamran Emdadi whose telephone number is 571-272-6047. The examiner can normally be reached M-F between the hours of 7am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kamran Emdadi

March 8, 2005

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 4 3(11/0)